

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Telmisartan to Prevent Recurrent Stroke and Cardiovascular Events

Salim Yusuf, M.B., B.S., D.Phil., Hans-Christoph Diener, M.D., Ph.D., Ralph L. Sacco, M.D., Daniel Cotton, M.S., Stephanie Ôunpuu, Ph.D., William A. Lawton, B.A., Yuko Palesch, Ph.D., René H. Martin, Ph.D., Gregory W. Albers, M.D., Philip Bath, F.R.C.P., Natan Bornstein, M.D., Bernard P.L. Chan, M.D., Sien-Tsong Chen, M.D., Luis Cunha, M.D., Ph.D., Björn Dahlöf, M.D., Ph.D., Jacques De Keyser, M.D., Ph.D., Geoffrey A. Donnan, M.D., Conrado Estol, M.D., Ph.D., Philip Gorelick, M.D., Vivian Gu, M.D., Karin Hermansson, D.M.Sc., Lutz Hilbrich, M.D., Markku Kaste, M.D., Ph.D., Chuanzhen Lu, M.D., Thomas Machnig, M.D., Prem Pais, M.D., Robin Roberts, M.Tech., Veronika Skvortsova, M.D., Philip Teal, M.D., Danilo Toni, M.D., Cam VanderMaelen, Ph.D., Thor Voigt, M.D., Michael Weber, M.D., and Byung-Woo Yoon, M.D., Ph.D., for the PROFESS Study Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Prolonged lowering of blood pressure after a stroke reduces the risk of recurrent stroke. In addition, inhibition of the renin–angiotensin system in high-risk patients reduces the rate of subsequent cardiovascular events, including stroke. However, the effect of lowering of blood pressure with a renin–angiotensin system inhibitor soon after a stroke has not been clearly established. We evaluated the effects of therapy with an angiotensin-receptor blocker, telmisartan, initiated early after a stroke.

METHODS

In a multicenter trial involving 20,332 patients who recently had an ischemic stroke, we randomly assigned 10,146 to receive telmisartan (80 mg daily) and 10,186 to receive placebo. The primary outcome was recurrent stroke. Secondary outcomes were major cardiovascular events (death from cardiovascular causes, recurrent stroke, myocardial infarction, or new or worsening heart failure) and new-onset diabetes.

RESULTS

The median interval from stroke to randomization was 15 days. During a mean follow-up of 2.5 years, the mean blood pressure was 3.8/2.0 mm Hg lower in the telmisartan group than in the placebo group. A total of 880 patients (8.7%) in the telmisartan group and 934 patients (9.2%) in the placebo group had a subsequent stroke (hazard ratio in the telmisartan group, 0.95; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.86 to 1.04; $P=0.23$). Major cardiovascular events occurred in 1367 patients (13.5%) in the telmisartan group and 1463 patients (14.4%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.01; $P=0.11$). New-onset diabetes occurred in 1.7% of the telmisartan group and 2.1% of the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.04; $P=0.10$).

CONCLUSIONS

Therapy with telmisartan initiated soon after an ischemic stroke and continued for 2.5 years did not significantly lower the rate of recurrent stroke, major cardiovascular events, or diabetes. (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00153062.)

The authors' affiliations are listed in the Appendix. Address reprint requests to Dr. Yusuf at the Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton Health Sciences and McMaster University, 237 Barton St. East, Hamilton, ON L8L 2X2, Canada, or at yusufs@mcmaster.ca.

Drs. Yusuf, Diener, and Sacco contributed equally to this article.

*Investigators in the Prevention Regimen for Effectively Avoiding Second Strokes (PROFESS) Study Group are listed in the Appendix.

This article (10.1056/NEJMoa0804593) was published at www.nejm.org on August 27, 2008.

N Engl J Med 2008;359.

Copyright © 2008 Massachusetts Medical Society.

STROKE IS THE SECOND MOST FREQUENT cause of death in the world and is responsible for about 5 million deaths each year.¹ An additional 15 million persons have nonfatal strokes, with about a third having disabling consequences. Elevated blood pressure is the strongest risk factor for stroke, and lowering of blood pressure, especially in patients with substantially elevated levels (e.g., systolic pressure, >160 mm Hg), reduces the risk of stroke.² After a stroke, lowering blood pressure with a combination of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitor and a diuretic reduced rates of recurrent stroke in the Perindopril Protection against Recurrent Stroke Study (PROGRESS).³

Several other trials have raised the possibility of an additional mechanism, independent of blood-pressure lowering, by which blockers of the renin-angiotensin system may be beneficial in patients with stroke.⁴ In the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) trial, ACE-inhibitor therapy reduced the rate of stroke in patients with previous cardiovascular events or high-risk diabetes despite only a small reduction in blood pressure, with consistent results in those with and those without previous strokes.^{5,6} Another recent trial⁷ demonstrated that eprosartan reduced the number of recurrent strokes and transient ischemic attacks (but not the proportion of patients with stroke), as compared with a calcium-channel blocker, in patients with previous stroke, despite a similar reduction in blood pressure. In one small study, an angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB) that was started soon after a stroke reduced the rates of death and cardiovascular events despite no blood-pressure reduction.⁸

In these studies, the majority of patients were enrolled several months or years after a stroke, and the potential benefit of the use of renin-angiotensin system blockers soon after a stroke was not clearly established. We therefore evaluated whether therapy with telmisartan, an ARB, given at a dose of 80 mg per day, could reduce the risk of stroke when initiated within 4 months after a stroke and continued for 2.5 years.

METHODS

STUDY PROTOCOL

Details of the trial protocol have been published previously.⁹ Patients were from 695 centers in 35

countries and had recently had an ischemic stroke. We used a two-by-two factorial design to compare four regimens: a combination of acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) and extended-release dipyridamole, as compared with clopidogrel, and telmisartan as compared with placebo. All patients also received medications for blood-pressure control at the discretion of the investigators. The trial was approved by the ethics committee or institutional review board at each national or local site. This report focuses on the component of the trial comparing telmisartan with placebo.

STUDY DESIGN

The trial was sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim, with additional support from Bayer Schering Pharma and GlaxoSmithKline, and was designed by the steering committee, which included representatives of the sponsor. Data were collected by investigators at each site. The final statistical analyses were conducted simultaneously by independent statisticians at the Medical University of South Carolina, who provided data and interim analysis reports to the data and safety monitoring committee, and the statisticians from Boehringer Ingelheim. After the database was locked, a confirmatory analysis was performed by the sponsor. Minor discrepancies between the two data analyses were resolved by mutual agreement. The three principal academic investigators had full access to the data, wrote the manuscript with input from all the coauthors, and vouch for the accuracy and completeness of the data and the analyses.

ELIGIBILITY

According to the initial protocol, patients 55 years of age or older who had had an ischemic stroke less than 90 days before randomization and whose condition was stable were eligible to participate in the study. The diagnosis of ischemic stroke was defined as a new focal neurologic deficit of cardiovascular origin persisting for more than 24 hours. Patients whose symptoms persisted for less than 24 hours could be included if they had evidence of a recent ischemic stroke on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. After about 6000 patients had been enrolled, the protocol was modified to allow the inclusion of younger patients (50 to 54 years) and those who had had less recent strokes (within 90 to 120 days) if they also had at least two additional risk

factors. Patients were excluded if they had had a primary hemorrhagic stroke, severe disability after the qualifying stroke, contraindications to one of the study antiplatelet agents, or other factors making them unsuitable for randomization.⁹ All participants provided written informed consent.

RANDOMIZATION, TREATMENT, AND FOLLOW-UP

Eligible and consenting patients underwent randomization with the use of a central telephone system to receive either a twice-daily fixed-dose combination of aspirin (25 mg) plus extended-release dipyridamole (200 mg) or once-daily clopidogrel (75 mg) and either once-daily telmisartan (80 mg) or placebo. Patients were evaluated at the time of hospital discharge or in a clinic visit at 1 week and then at 1, 3, and 6 months; they were then evaluated every 6 months. Interim telephone calls were scheduled halfway between clinic visits.

OUTCOME EVENTS

The primary outcome was recurrent stroke of any type. The two secondary outcomes were major cardiovascular events (death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, recurrent stroke, or worsening or new heart failure) and new-onset diabetes. A central committee adjudicated the primary outcome and the first of the secondary outcomes, whereas the diagnosis of new-onset diabetes was based on center reports.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The trial was initially designed to enroll 15,500 patients, a sample size that was considered sufficient to accrue 2170 patients with recurrent stroke during a 4-year trial. On the basis of this assumption, the trial would have a power of more than 99% to detect a relative risk reduction of 25% in the telmisartan group, as compared with the placebo group, given the evidence at the time.^{6,10} However, despite a subsequent increase in sample size to more than 20,000 patients and a 6-month extension of the trial, only 1715 patients with recurrent stroke were projected. This revised event rate provided a power of 91% to detect a relative risk reduction of 15% in the telmisartan group, as compared with the placebo group.

The primary analysis was the time to recurrent stroke. A Cox proportional-hazards regres-

sion model with baseline age, diabetes status, ACE-inhibitor use, and modified Rankin Scale as covariates was the prespecified model intended to be used for the analysis of outcomes. However, the assumption of proportional hazards was not satisfied, and we therefore explored whether the treatment effect varied according to time (after an inspection of the Kaplan–Meier curves), with additional analyses describing the results separately within and beyond 6 months. All analyses of the outcomes were conducted under the intention-to-treat principle with the use of a time-to-event approach and included all randomized patients.

Subgroup analyses for the primary outcome and for major cardiovascular events were evaluated with the use of tests for interaction for prespecified baseline features. These included the use of ACE inhibitors, systolic blood pressure, history of diabetes mellitus, and a stroke risk score generated from the overall data of the trial, which included age, sex, physical activity level, baseline systolic blood pressure, history of hypertension, diabetes status, previous myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, peripheral arterial disease, and stroke before qualifying event (for details, see the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at www.nejm.org).

RESULTS

PATIENTS

From September 11, 2003, to July 14, 2006, a total of 20,332 patients were enrolled in the trial. Of these patients, 10,146 were assigned to receive telmisartan and 10,186 to receive placebo. Baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The mean blood pressure at entry was 144.1 mm Hg systolic and 83.8 mm Hg diastolic. About 42% of the patients had an ethnic background other than white European, 25% had had previous transient ischemic attacks (TIA) or stroke before the qualifying stroke, 19% had evidence of atherosclerosis in other vascular beds, 28% had diabetes, 74% had a history of hypertension, and 57% were current or previous smokers; 37% of patients were receiving an ACE inhibitor. The majority of patients had recently had a qualifying stroke caused by occlusion of a small artery, and 24% were at least moderately disabled (score on Rankin Scale, ≥ 3). The time from stroke to randomization was 10 days or less among 8087 pa-

tients (40%), 11 to 30 days among 5887 patients (29%), and more than 30 days among 6314 patients (31%). The median interval from stroke to randomization was 15 days.

FOLLOW-UP AND ADHERENCE

The mean duration of follow-up was 30 months (range, 18 to 52). A total of 125 patients (51 in the telmisartan group and 74 in the placebo group) (0.6%) were lost to follow up.

Among patients in the telmisartan group, 7627 of 9825 patients (77.6%) were receiving the study drug at 1 year, 5453 of 7403 (73.7%) at 2 years,

and 1736 of 2542 (68.3%) at 3 years, with 175 (1.7%) receiving a nonstudy ARB at 1 year and 233 (2.3%) at the penultimate visit. In the placebo group, 7916 of 9856 patients (80.3%) were receiving a study drug at 1 year, 5702 of 7439 (76.7%) at 2 years, and 1803 of 2545 (70.8%) at 3 years, with 219 (2.2%) receiving a nonstudy ARB at 1 year and 254 (2.5%) at the penultimate visit.

By the end of the study, the use of diuretics, ACE inhibitors, calcium-channel blockers, and beta-blockers was more frequent in the placebo group than in the telmisartan group, with the comparative rates as follows: diuretics, 22.6% in

Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients.*

Variable	Telmisartan (N=10,146)	Placebo (N=10,186)
Age — yr	66.1±8.6	66.2±8.6
Blood pressure — mm Hg	144.1±16.4/83.8±10.5	144.2±16.7/83.8±10.6
Heart rate — beats/min	73.2±11.7	73.1±11.7
Body-mass index	26.8±5.0	26.8±5.0
Female sex — no. (%)	3619 (35.7)	3691 (36.2)
Ethnic group — no. (%)†		
Chinese	1843 (18.2)	1823 (17.9)
South Asian	849 (8.4)	863 (8.5)
Other Asian	640 (6.3)	642 (6.3)
African	407 (4.0)	409 (4.0)
White/European	5827 (57.4)	5870 (57.6)
Native Latin	494 (4.9)	496 (4.9)
Other	86 (0.8)	83 (0.8)
Clinical history — no. (%)		
Previous stroke or TIA	2486 (24.5)	2511 (24.7)
Atherosclerotic disease‡	1898 (18.7)	2053 (20.2)
Atrial fibrillation	266 (2.6)	274 (2.7)
Hypertension	7510 (74.0)	7538 (74.0)
Diabetes mellitus	2840 (28.0)	2903 (28.5)
Hyperlipidemia	4735 (46.7)	4758 (46.7)
Left ventricular hypertrophy	1577 (15.5)	1590 (15.6)
Smoking status — no. (%)		
Current	2151 (21.2)	2157 (21.2)
Past	3703 (36.5)	3649 (35.8)
Use of medication at baseline — no. (%)		
Statin	4742 (46.7)	4872 (47.8)
ACE inhibitor	3737 (36.8)	3782 (37.1)
Diuretic	2093 (20.6)	2168 (21.3)
Calcium-channel blocker	2487 (24.5)	2473 (24.3)
Beta-blocker	2096 (20.7)	2135 (21.0)
Index stroke ≤10 days before randomization — no. (%)	4021 (39.6)	4066 (39.9)

Table 1. (Continued.)		
Variable	Telmisartan (N=10,146)	Placebo (N=10,186)
TOAST classification		
Large-artery atherosclerosis	2907 (28.7)	2898 (28.5)
Cardioembolism	187 (1.8)	182 (1.8)
Small-artery occlusion	5283 (52.1)	5295 (52.0)
Other	1769 (17.4)	1811 (17.8)
Score on modified Rankin Scale — no. (%)§		
0	1420 (14.0)	1433 (14.1)
1	3732 (36.8)	3848 (37.8)
2	2555 (25.2)	2526 (24.8)
3–5	2439 (24.0)	2379 (23.4)
Baseline NIH Stroke Scale — no. (%)¶		
0–1	4044 (39.9)	4047 (39.7)
2–3	2937 (28.9)	3049 (29.9)
4–5	1740 (17.1)	1612 (15.8)
6–14	1350 (13.3)	1397 (13.7)
>14	72 (0.7)	79 (0.8)

* Plus–minus values are means \pm SD. The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. ACE denotes angiotensin-converting enzyme, NIH National Institutes of Health, TIA transient ischemic attack, and TOAST Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment.

† Ethnic group was self-reported. The term Native Latin refers to Latin American ethnic background.

‡ P=0.05.

§ The modified Rankin Scale score ranges from 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating greater severity.

¶ The NIH Stroke Scale ranges from 0 to 42, with higher scores indicating greater severity.

the telmisartan group and 28.2% in the placebo group; ACE inhibitors, 28.4% and 33.9%, respectively; calcium-channel blockers, 26.5% and 30.9%, respectively; and beta-blockers, 22.3% and 25.4%, respectively.

BLOOD PRESSURE, CREATININE, AND POTASSIUM

At 1 month, there was a reduction in average systolic blood pressure of 8.3 mm Hg in the telmisartan group, as compared with a reduction of 2.9 mm Hg in the placebo group (between-group difference, 5.4 mm Hg) (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). However, at 1 year, the between-group difference in systolic blood pressure favoring telmisartan had narrowed to 4.0 mm Hg, with an average difference of only 3.8 mm Hg between the two groups throughout the study. Although the between-group difference in diastolic blood pressure favoring telmisartan was 2.9 mm Hg at 1 month, it narrowed to 2.2 mm Hg at 1 year and to 1.6 mm Hg by the end of the study, with an average between-group difference favoring telmisartan of 2.0 mm Hg.

In the two groups, the mean creatinine level

at baseline was 1.0 mg per deciliter (88 μ mol per liter), and the mean potassium level was 4.1 mmol per liter. At 1 month, the mean creatinine level increased by 0.06 mg per deciliter (5 μ mol per liter) in the telmisartan group and 0.09 mg per deciliter (8 μ mol per liter) in the placebo group. At the same time, the mean potassium level increased by 0.22 mmol per liter in the telmisartan group and 0.13 mmol per liter in the placebo group. At 1 month, the proportion of patients with a creatinine level of more than 1.5 mg per deciliter (133 μ mol per liter) was similar in the telmisartan group and the placebo group (6.2% and 5.8%, respectively), whereas the proportion of patients with a potassium level of more than 5.5 mmol per liter was significantly higher in the telmisartan group than in the placebo group (1.6% and 0.8%, respectively; P<0.001).

ADVERSE EVENTS

Adverse events leading to discontinuation of a study medication are listed in Table 2. The listed adverse events were selected on the basis of medical interest and the mechanism of action of tel-

Table 2. Selected Adverse Events Leading to Discontinuation of a Study Drug.

Adverse Event	Telmisartan (N=10,146)	Placebo (N=10,186)	P Value
	no. (%)		
Total	1450 (14.3)	1127 (11.1)	<0.001
Hypotensive symptoms	393 (3.9)	186 (1.8)	<0.001
Syncope	21 (0.2)	6 (0.1)	0.004
Uncontrolled hypertension	13 (0.1)	17 (0.2)	0.47
Headache	231 (2.3)	203 (2.0)	0.16
Diarrhea	69 (0.7)	45 (0.4)	0.02
Nausea	104 (1.0)	72 (0.7)	0.01
Vomiting	74 (0.7)	61 (0.6)	0.25
Angioedema	23 (0.2)	31 (0.3)	0.28
Renal impairment	28 (0.3)	18 (0.2)	0.14
Hyperkalemia	14 (0.1)	6 (0.1)	0.07
Atrial fibrillation	81 (0.8)	50 (0.5)	0.006

misartan. Hypotension or syncope led to study discontinuation significantly more often in the telmisartan group than in the placebo group.

PRIMARY AND SECONDARY OUTCOMES

There was no significant interaction between the results of the antiplatelet comparison and the comparison between telmisartan and placebo ($P=0.35$ for interaction). The primary outcome of first recurrent stroke occurred in 880 patients (8.7%) in the telmisartan group, as compared with 934 patients (9.2%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.95; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.86 to 1.04; $P=0.23$) (Fig. 1 and Table 3). This nonsignificant difference was consistent across various subtypes of stroke. Post hoc exploratory analyses indicated that the number of patients who had a recurrent stroke during the first 6 months was 347 (3.4%) in the telmisartan group, as compared with 326 (3.2%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.25). After 6 months, 533 patients (5.3%) had a recurrent stroke in the telmisartan group, as compared with 608 (6.0%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.99). The difference in effects that were observed during the two periods was significant ($P=0.04$ for interaction).

The number of patients with a major cardiovascular event was 1367 (13.5%) in the telmisartan group, as compared with 1463 (14.4%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.87

to 1.01) (Fig. 2A and Table 3). Post hoc exploratory analyses indicated that the number of such events occurring within 6 months after randomization was 474 (4.7%) in the telmisartan group, as compared with 433 (4.3%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.97 to 1.26). However, after 6 months, the number of such events was lower in the telmisartan group than in the placebo group, with 893 events (8.8%) and 1030 events (10.1%), respectively (hazard ratio, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.80 to 0.95; $P=0.004$ for interaction between the two periods). Adjustment for post-randomization differences in blood pressure did not materially affect the estimated treatment effects of telmisartan for stroke, either overall (hazard ratio, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.05) or at more than 6 months (hazard ratio, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.00), or for major cardiovascular events, either overall (hazard ratio, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.01) or at more than 6 months (hazard ratio, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.96).


The number of patients who had new-onset diabetes after randomization was 125 (1.2%) in the telmisartan group, as compared with 151 (1.5%) in the placebo group (hazard ratio, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.04; $P=0.10$) (Fig. 2B and Table 3).

OTHER OUTCOMES

There were no significant differences between the telmisartan group and the placebo group in the number of patients who died (755 vs. 740), had a major hemorrhage (385 vs. 399), had migraine in the first 6 months (429 vs. 447), or had headache in the first 7 days (2006 vs. 2102). The number of patients who had intracranial bleeding did not differ significantly between the telmisartan group (112 patients) and the placebo group (138 patients) (1.1% vs. 1.4%; hazard ratio, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.05).

SUBGROUP ANALYSES

In prespecified subgroups of patients, results for stroke (Fig. 3A) and for major cardiovascular events (Fig. 3B) indicated no heterogeneity of effects. Separate analyses of patients who underwent early randomization (<10 days after a stroke) showed results similar to those in the entire study cohort. At 30 days in this subgroup, there was no significant increase in risk in the telmisartan group, as compared with the placebo group, for stroke (51 patients vs. 44 patients) or for major cardiovascular events (72 patients vs. 56 patients).



DISCUSSION

In our study, we compared telmisartan with placebo initiated soon after an ischemic stroke, with patient follow-up for an average of 2.5 years. We showed that telmisartan did not significantly reduce the risk of a subsequent stroke, of the composite outcome of major cardiovascular events, or of new-onset diabetes.

Elevated blood pressure after a stroke is associated with recurrent events, and long-term lowering of blood pressure reduced recurrent strokes in the PROGRESS study.³ At first glance, the data from our trial may appear to be discrepant with those from the PROGRESS trial. However, there are several differences between the studies. First, at baseline, patients' mean blood pressure was higher in the PROGRESS study (147/86 mm Hg) than in our study (144/84 mm Hg). Second, a majority of patients (58%) in the PROGRESS study were assigned to receive a combination of perindopril plus indapamide, which reduced blood pressure to a substantial degree (12.3/5.0 mm Hg), as compared with those receiving an ACE inhibitor only, for whom the blood pressure reduction was more modest (4.9/2.8 mm Hg). The reduction of stroke in the PROGRESS study was seen large-

ly among patients receiving combination therapy. The smaller reduction in blood pressure in our study and the lower initial blood pressure levels may in part explain the apparent differences in the results.

The results of our analysis were unaltered after accounting for the modest reduction in blood pressure in the telmisartan group. This finding raises the question of whether agents that block the renin-angiotensin system offer additional benefit independent of their effects on blood pressure. In both the HOPE study^{5,6} and the Losartan Intervention for End-point Reduction in Hypertension (LIFE) study (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00338260),¹⁰ it was suggested that such mechanisms may be important. However, in the PROGRESS trial, a clear reduction in the risk of stroke was observed only in the group that received a combination of perindopril plus a diuretic, which reduced systolic blood pressure by 12 mm Hg. No significant reduction in the risk of stroke occurred in patients receiving perindopril alone, which reduced systolic blood pressure by a more modest 5 mm Hg.³ The role of ARBs is currently being further evaluated in two large trials with expected mean follow-ups of more than 4 years.^{11,12}

Table 3. Effect of Telmisartan on Primary, Secondary, and Selected Tertiary Outcomes.

Outcome	Telmisartan (N=10,146) no. (%)	Placebo (N=10,186) no. (%)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)*	P Value
Primary				
Recurrent stroke†	880 (8.7)	934 (9.2)	0.95 (0.86–1.04)	0.23
Ischemic	774 (7.6)	811 (8.0)		
Hemorrhagic	59 (0.6)	69 (0.7)		
Other or unknown	47 (0.5)	54 (0.5)		
Secondary				
Death from cardiovascular causes, recurrent stroke, myocardial infarction, or new or worsening heart failure	1367 (13.5)	1463 (14.4)	0.94 (0.87–1.01)	0.11
Death from cardiovascular causes‡	223 (2.2)	263 (2.6)		
Recurrent stroke‡	855 (8.4)	914 (9.0)		
Myocardial infarction‡	168 (1.7)	169 (1.7)		
New or worsening heart failure‡	121 (1.2)	117 (1.1)		
New-onset diabetes	125 (1.2)	151 (1.5)	0.82 (0.65–1.04)	0.10
Tertiary				
Death from cardiovascular causes, recurrent stroke, or myocardial infarction§	1289 (12.7)	1377 (13.5)	0.94 (0.87–1.02)	0.13
Death from any cause	755 (7.4)	740 (7.3)	1.03 (0.93–1.14)	0.55
Death from cardiovascular causes or recurrent stroke¶	1171 (11.5)	1249 (12.3)	0.94 (0.87–1.02)	0.15

* Hazard ratios are for patients in the telmisartan group, as compared with the placebo group.

† Numbers are based on the incidence of the first recurrent stroke.

‡ This event was the first that occurred in the composite outcome.

§ This composite was included for direct comparison with results from the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) trial.


¶ This outcome was a post hoc composite.

Post hoc exploratory analyses suggested that there was no significant difference in event rates in the first 6 months after randomization for the primary outcome and for two of the secondary outcomes, with a difference emerging later. These findings, while provisional, suggest that the effect of telmisartan in our study was time dependent. In the HOPE and PROGRESS trials, there was little or no apparent benefit in the first 6 months, whereas there was a gradual and continuing lowering in the rates of stroke and major cardiovascular events thereafter. If this interpretation is correct, then the mean duration of follow-up in our study (2.5 years), which was shorter than that of the HOPE study (4.5 years) and the PROGRESS study (4 years), may have contributed to the lack of significant benefit associated with telmisartan in our study.

We cannot rule out the possibility that telmisartan therapy is associated with a modest increase in risk during the first 6 months of treatment.

Patients in our study were treated, on average, earlier after their index stroke than in other trials (a median of 15 days, as compared with a median of 8 months in the PROGRESS study). Mildly elevated blood pressure in the acute post-stroke period may be associated with a more favorable outcome, perhaps because it preserves perfusion to ischemic regions.^{13,14} However, in the Acute Candesartan Cilexetil Therapy in Stroke Survivors (ACCESS) study,⁸ in which treatment with an ARB was initiated within the first few days after a stroke, an early benefit was reported. Further subdivision of our data to focus only on patients who were treated very soon after a stroke (≤ 10 days) did not suggest a concentration of harm during the first 30 days of the study.

In our analysis, there was a nonsignificant trend toward a lower rate of new-onset diabetes associated with telmisartan. Several previous trials have suggested that ACE inhibitors and ARBs can reduce the risk of diabetes,^{15,16} although no



significant benefit was shown in the only large randomized trial in which diabetes was the primary outcome.¹⁷

Our trial had a few limitations. First, the

adherence to the telmisartan regimen was lower than that in other large trials of telmisartan, such as the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global End-point Tri-




Figure 3. Effect of Telmisartan on the Risk of Stroke or Major Cardiovascular Events in Prespecified Subgroups.

Shown are the effects telmisartan on the risks of stroke (Panel A) and major cardiovascular events (Panel B) in pre-specified subgroups and in patients who underwent randomization either 10 days or less after the qualifying stroke or more than 10 days after the qualifying stroke. The sizes of the squares are proportional to the numbers of events. For details on calculation of the stroke risk score, see the Supplementary Appendix.

al (ONTARGET; NCT00153101).¹⁸ This may have been due in part to the absence of a run-in period in our study and to the fact that most patients who had headache caused by aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole stopped both sets of blinded medications in the factorial design. Second, in our study, more patients in the placebo group than in the telmisartan group received non-study blood-pressure-lowering medications (including ACE inhibitors), consistent with the protocol, which strongly emphasized blood-pressure control for all enrolled patients. These factors substantially minimized the difference in blood pressure between the telmisartan group and the placebo group by about one third and may also have contributed to a lack of a significant reduction in events. Third (as discussed above), the duration of the trial may have been too short.

In conclusion, we showed that the addition of ARB therapy to the use of other antihypertensive drugs soon after a stroke and continuing for a mean of 2.5 years did not significantly reduce the risk of subsequent stroke, major cardiovascular events, or new-onset diabetes.

Supported by Boehringer Ingelheim. In selected countries, the telmisartan comparison was supported by Bayer Schering Pharma and GlaxoSmithKline.

Dr. Yusuf reports receiving consulting and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi-Aventis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, and GlaxoSmithKline and grant support from Sanofi-Aventis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and GlaxoSmithKline; Dr. Diener, receiving honoraria, consulting, and lecture fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Bayer Vital, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boeh-

ringer Ingelheim, D-Pharm, Fresenius, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Cilag, Merck, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Paion, Parke-Davis, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Sankyo, Servier, Solvay, Thrombogenics, Wyeth, and Yamaguchi and grant support from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Janssen-Cilag, and Sanofi-Aventis; Dr. Sacco, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, and Sanofi-Aventis and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; Mr. Cotton, Mr. Lawton, and Drs. Öunpuu, Gu, Hermansson, Hilbrich, Machnig, VanderMaelen, and Voigt, being employees of Boehringer Ingelheim; Drs. Palesch and Martin, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; Dr. Albers, receiving consulting and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim, NMT Medical, Parexel International, AstraZeneca, and Forest Research Institute; Drs. Bath, Chen, De Keyser, and Estol, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; Dr. Dahlöf, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, and Novartis and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, and Pfizer; Drs. Donnan and Kaste and Mr. Roberts, receiving consulting and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim; Dr. Gorelick, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer, TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Myriad, Pfizer, Takeda, Daiichi Sankyo, Novartis, Merck, Brainsgate, and D-Pharm and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and diaDexus; Dr. Pais, receiving consulting fees and grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim; Dr. Teal, receiving consulting and lecture fees from Sanofi-Aventis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Boehringer Ingelheim and consulting fees from Bayer; Dr. Toni, receiving consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi-Aventis, and Novo Nordisk; and Dr. Weber, receiving consulting and lecture fees or honoraria from Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, and Forest Laboratories, consulting fees from Gilead and Takeda, and lecture fees or honoraria from Sanofi-Aventis. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

We thank Colette Easton, Judy Lindeman, and Vicky Hintridge for their technical assistance and Karuna Bellamkonda and Michael Pannucci for their programming support.

APPENDIX

The authors' affiliations are as follows: the Population Health Research Institute (S.Y.) and the Clinical Trials Methodology Group (R.R.), McMaster University; and Hamilton Health Sciences (S.Y.) — all in Hamilton, ON, Canada; the University of Duisberg-Essen, Essen, Germany (H.-C.D.); the Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami (R.L.S.); Boehringer Ingelheim, Ridgefield, CT (D.C., L.H., C.V., T.V.), Burlington, ON, Canada (S.O.), Bracknell, United Kingdom (W.A.L.), Shanghai, China (V.G.), Stockholm (K.H.), and Ingelheim, Germany (T.M.); the Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston (Y.P., R.H.M.); Stanford University Medical Center, Palo Alto, CA (G.W.A.); the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom (P.B.); Ichilov Medical Center, Tel-Aviv, Israel (N.B.); National University Hospital, Singapore (B.P.L.C.); Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan (S.-T.C.); Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal (L.C.); Sahlgrenska University Hospital/Östra, Göteborg, Sweden (B.D.); University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands (J.D.K.); National Stroke Research Institute, Austin Health, University of Melbourne, Heidelberg West, Australia (G.A.D.); Neurological Center for Treatment and Research, Buenos Aires (C.E.); University of Illinois, Chicago (P.G.); Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki (M.K.); Huashan Hospital, Shanghai, China (C.L.); St. John's Medical College, Bangalore, India (P.P.); Russian State Medical University, Moscow (V.S.); University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (P.T.); University La Sapienza, Rome (D.T.); SUNY DownState College of Medicine, New York (M.W.); and Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea (B.-W.Y.).

Investigators in the PROFESS study are as follows: **Trial Management and Steering Committee:** Current members of the trial management committee are denoted by an asterisk. Previous members are denoted by a dagger. H.-C. Diener (cochair),* R. Sacco (cochair),* S. Yusuf (cochair),* B. Blank,† D. Cotton,* V. Gu,* K. Hermansson,* L. Hilbrich,† M. Humphreys,† W.A. Lawton,* T. Machnig, S. Öunpuu,* C. VanderMaelen,* T. Voigt,* Y. Wu,† G. Albers, P. Bath, N. Bornstein, B. Chan, S.-T. Chen, L. Cunha, B. Dahlöf, J. DeKeyser, G. Donnan, C. Estol, P. Gorelick, M. Kaste, C. Lu, P. Pais, R. Roberts, V. Skvortsova, P. Teal, D. Toni, M. Weber, B.-W. Yoon. **Data and Safety Monitoring Committee:** P. Wolf (chair), M. Fisher, B. Norrving, Y. Palesch (independent statistician), P. Sleight, A. Turpie. **Adjudication Committee:** T. Buck, J. Chong, G. Dagenais, R. delaPaz, A. Diehl, M. DiTullio, D. Easton, C. Ehrenfeld, M. Elkind, J. Fiebach, M. Forsting, E. Gizewski, D. Gohs, J. Halperin, M. Haude, C. Herz, S. Homma, O. Kastrup, J. Krakauer, A. Magun, M. Maschke, J.P. Mohr, C. Möller-Hartmann, P. Mummel, J. Pile-Spellman, C. Rodriguez, S. Sack, J. Schlaak, A. Schmermund, R. von Kummer, I. Wanke, C. Weimar, H. Wieneke, T. Zoepf. **Publication Committee:** H.-C. Diener (chair), P. Bath, G. Donnan, D. Cotton, C. Estol, L. Hilbrich,† M. Humphreys,† S. Öunpuu, R. Roberts, P. Teal, D. Toni, R. Sacco, S. Yusuf. **Substudy Subcommittee:** P. Bath (cochair), R. Sacco (cochair), D. Cotton, B. Dahlöf, H.-C. Diener, P. Gorelick, R. Roberts, M. Weber, S. Yusuf, L. Hilbrich.† **Principal Investigators by Country:** *Argentina:* C. Estol (national coordinator), S. Ameriso, D. Baumann, J.J. Cirio, T.S. Coleman, M.M. Esnaola y-Rojas, H. Fraiman, H. Gabrielli, J.

S. Chankrachang, Y. Chinvarun, A. Chutinet, S. Mueengtaweepongsa, Y. Nilanont, N. Pongvarin, P. Sithinamsuwan, N. Suwanwela, S. Tanprawate. *Turkey*: S. Bahar (national coordinator), S. Aktan, M. Bakar, S. Balkan, T. Dalkara, K. Kutluk, G. Ozdemir. *Ukraine*: S. Moskovko (national coordinator), O. Balyssky, V. Bitensky, O. Dubenko, L. Dzyak, A. Goloborodko, G. Grebenyuk, A. Koselkin, V. Kulgeyko, S. Kuznetzova, V. Lebedynets, V. Maly, S. Medvedkova, E. Melnyk, T. Mishchenko, G. Moskovko, V. Orzheshkovskyy, N. Ovsyannikova, V. Yavorska, I. Yurdanova, V. Zushkha. *United Kingdom*: P. Bath (national coordinator), L. Brawn, M. Brown, L. Campbell, D. Cohen, R. Curless, J. Davis, G. Durward, G. Ford, C. Gray, F.L. Hammonds, T. Hendra, M. James, L. Kalra, R. Kumar, S. Jackson, D. Jenkinson, K. Lees, G. Lip, R. MacWalter, K. Muir, P. Murphy, J. Okwera, E. Orugun, P. Passmore, J. Potter, A. Sharma, J. Sharma, M. Sterling, S. Ragab, T. Robinson, C. Roffe, A. Rowe, J. Turton. *United States*: G. Albers (national coordinator), P. Gorelick (national coordinator), R. Sacco (national coordinator), F. Abbott, J. Absher, A. Acharya, H. Adams, P. Akins, E. Albakri, M. Alberts, R. Alonso, I. Altafullah, E. Anderson, J. Andrefsky, R. Armstrong, G. Arnold, A. Arora, R. Atkinson, S. Azhar, S. Bansil, K. Becker, R. Bell, L. Benardo, G. Bernardini, A. Bernstein, P. Blachman, J. Boiser, B. Boop, C. Boutwell, D. Bressler, C. Brooks, W. Brooks, R. Calhoun, F. Campanella, S. Carlson, D. Carpenter, J. Castaldo, R. Castaldo, M. Cauli, K. Chan, S. Chaturvedi, S. Cherian, M. Chesser, D. Chiu, W. Clark, B. Cleeremans, S. Cohen, T. Coin, L. Collins, M. Concha, G. Cooper, J. Couch, B. Coull, E. Crisostomo, A. Cruz, P. Cullis, R. Dafer, S. Dash, D. Davis, P. Davis, J. DeMatteis, B. Diamond, A. Dick, D. Dietrich, R. Dunnigan, D. Duong, L. Edelsohn, H. Ehrenfeld, J. Elkins, M. Englert, S. Erlmeier, G. Eubank, P. Fayad, R. Felberg, W. Felton III, R. Ferguson, S. Flitman, P. Fonzetti, K. Furie, M. Garcia, G. Gardziola, J. Gebel, J. Glass, L. Goldstein, A. Goldszmidt, S. Goli, G. Graham, D. Graybeal, B. Grayum, E. Green, J. Green, P. Green, D. Greer, T. Gropen, J. Gross, J. Grotta, R. Gunwardane, B. Haake, T. Habiger, J. Halsey, D. Hanley, J. Hanna, C. Hansen, S. Hanson, G. Harpold, J. Harris, M. Harris, R. Hart, K. Hedges, B. Hendin, J. Hinchey, J. Ho, M. Hoffman, J. Hollander, W. Holt, K. Holzmacher, G. Howell, S. Howell, D. Huang, R. Hughes, R. Hull, T. Hwang, M. Jacobson, M. Jacoby, A. Jayam-Trouth, C. Jackson, K. John, B. Johnson-Finley, M. Johnson, S. Johnston, A. Kamal, P. Karanjia, C. Kase, S. Kasner, L. Katz, P. Katz, T. Kent, R. Kelley, C. Kidwell, A. Khanna, H. Kirshner, D. Kleindorfer, C. Knox, J. Kramer, L. Labiche, R. Lada, E.F. LaFranchise, M. LaMonte, M. Lee, P. Lee-Kwen, D. Leifer, E. Leira, K. Levin, R. Libman, D. Liebeskind, K. Lindholm, J.N. Livingstone II, G. Locke, W. Logan, J. Luciano, H. Lutsep, A. Majid, V. Mangeshkumar, S. Markind, L. Mate, J. McCain IV, W.A. McElveen, B. McKown, J. Merino, B. Meyer, T. Mikesell, T. Mirsen, L. Montoya, S. Moon, M. Moonis, K. Moore, J. Nasrallah, A. Nassief, M. Nelson, F. Nichols, J. Osborne, F. Oser, B. Ovbiagele, D. Palestiant, S. Panezai, N. Papamitsakis, G. Parry, M. Perez, C. Perkins, J. Porter, W. Preston, H. Rabiee, M. Raikhel, R. Reichwein, K. Remmel, B. Richardson, R. Ringel, D. Rosenbaum, D. Ross, M. Rubin, K. Ruffing, H. Sachdev, M. Sauter, J. Saver, J. Schafer, R. Schifano, J. Schim, A.K. Schleining, M. Schneck, M. Selim, S. Sen, S. Shafer, B. Shafran, S. Sharfstein, D. Sherman, C. Sila, S. Silliman, B. Silver, B. Silverman, I. Silverman, R. Singh, N. Skillings, A. Slivka, D. Smith, R. Soto, S. Sparr, R. Stephens, H. Sullivan, G. Sung, J. Sutherland, A. Syed, M. Tabbaa, D. Tamulonis, R. Taylor, D. Tesfaye, T. Thomas, G. Tietjen, A. Todorov, M. Torbey, B. Tolge, M. Tremwell, W. Truax, D. Unwin, A. Vaishnav, N. Varma, Y.S. Venkatesh, P. Verro, R. Verson, T. Vidic, P. Vrooman, R. Wallis, D. Wang, J. Wang, M. Waters, L. Wechsler, J. Weinberger, J. Weissman, G. Wheatley, R. Whitehead, A. Willhite, H. Willis, E. Wilson, R. Wilson, J. Wilterdink, E. Wirkowski, M. Wozniak, C. Wright, M. Young, S. Zachariah, S. Zuckerman, R. Zweifler.

REFERENCES

- Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 1997;349:1436-42.
- Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. Effects of different blood-pressure-lowering regimens on major cardiovascular events: results of prospectively-designed overviews of randomized trials. *Lancet* 2003;362:1527-35.
- PROGRESS Collaborative Group. Randomised trial of a perindopril-based blood-pressure-lowering regimen among 6105 individuals with previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack. *Lancet* 2001;358:1033-41. [Errata, *Lancet* 2001;358:1556, 2002;359:2120.]
- Lonn EM, Yusuf S, Jha P, et al. Emerging role of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors in cardiac and vascular protection. *Circulation* 1994;90:2056-69.
- Bosch JJ, Yusuf S, Pogue J, et al. Use of ramipril in preventing stroke: double blind randomised trial. *BMJ* 2002;324:699-702.
- The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:145-53. [Errata, *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:748, 1376.]
- Schrader J, Lüders S, Kulschewski A, et al. Morbidity and Mortality after Stroke, Eprosartan Compared with Nitrendipine for Secondary Prevention: principal results of a prospective randomized controlled study (MOSES). *Stroke* 2005;36:1218-26.
- Schrader J, Lüders S, Kulschewski A, et al. The ACCESS Study: evaluation of Acute Candesartan Cilexetil Therapy in Stroke Survivors. *Stroke* 2003;34:1699-703.
- Diener HC, Sacco R, Yusuf S. Rationale, design and baseline data of a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial comparing two antithrombotic regimens (a fixed-dose combination of extended-release dipyridamole plus ASA with clopidogrel) and telmisartan versus placebo in patients with strokes: the Prevention Regimen for Effectively Avoiding Second Strokes trial (PROFESS). *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2007;23:368-80. [Erratum, *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2008;25:192.]
- Dahlöf B, Devereux RB, Kjeldsen SE, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomised trial against atenolol. *Lancet* 2002;359:995-1003.
- The ACTIVE Steering Committee. Rationale and design of ACTIVE: the atrial fibrillation clopidogrel trial with irbesartan for prevention of vascular events. *Am Heart J* 2006;151:1187-93.
- Teo K, Yusuf S, Sleight P, et al. Rationale, design and baseline characteristics of two large, simple randomized trials evaluating telmisartan, ramipril and their combination in high-risk patients: the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial/Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACE Intolerant Subjects with Cardiovascular Disease (ONTARGET/TRANSCEND) trials. *Am Heart J* 2004;148:52-61.
- Yong M, Diener HC, Kaste M, Mau J. Characteristics of blood pressure profiles as predictors of long-term outcome after acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2005;36:2619-25.
- Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PM, Phillips SJ, Sandercock PA, IST Collaborative Group. Blood pressure and clinical outcomes in the International Stroke Trial. *Stroke* 2002;33:1315-20.
- Yusuf S, Gerstein H, Hoogwerf B, et al. Ramipril and the development of diabetes. *JAMA* 2001;286:1882-5.
- Elliott WJ, Meyer PM. Incident diabetes in clinical trials of antihypertensive drugs: a network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2007;369:201-7. [Erratum, *Lancet* 2007;369:1518.]
- The DREAM Trial Investigators. Effect of ramipril on the incidence of diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:1551-62.
- The ONTARGET Investigators. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1547-59.

Copyright © 2008 Massachusetts Medical Society.